Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/936,898	IKENOYA, TADAKATSU
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barbara J. Musser	1733
All Participants:	Status of Application	: Rejected
(1) <u>Barbara J. Musser</u> .	(3)	
(2) Brian O'Shaughnessy.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 March 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
all		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a seguirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a seguired not result in resolution of all issues. A brief seguire. 	ion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representativ	re Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated applicant's arguments did not render the claim allowable, and suggested that if the length of time and temperature of storage showed expected results, that applicant both include the ranges in the claims and file a declaration of unexpected results. Scu hhowever, would require further seagrching and consideration..